UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,303	12/02/2005	Colin Dunlop	GRIHAC P44AUS	3549
20210 DAVIS & BUJ	7590 02/19/200 OLD, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
112 PLEASAN	T STREET	DVORAK, LINDA C		
CONCORD, N	H 03301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/538,303	DUNLOP, COLIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LINDA C. DVORAK	3739		

	LINDA C. DVORAK	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Act</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, which	chover is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extraorder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount c nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 October 2008</u> . A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), o appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the
<del></del>	aut prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>			cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	v);		
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but see continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Linda C Dvorak/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3739			
Caportiony ratoric Examinor, Art Offic 0700			

Applicant argues that Berke fails to disclose that one layer of the blanket has a portion of its surface formed of pervious material

Examiner agreed and used Tomic-Edgar to obviate the deficiency. Applicant argues that Tomic-Edgar also fails to show one layer of the blanket has a portion of its surface formed of pervious material. The examiner disagrees and notes that even a plastic sheet with holes in it is pervious as broadly as claimed. Applicant should note that 'porous' and 'pervious' are used alternatively in the specification as originally filed. It is the examiner's position that the two terms are not synonymous and that pervious has a broader interpretation Further search and consideration would be required if applicant switched the term in the claim from 'pervious' to ==porous.--